UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,625	08/05/2003	Kyeong Jin Kim	041501-5455-01	6368
	7590 02/08/2007 WIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE NW		NGUYEN, DUNG T	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	,	W.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/633,625	KIM, KYEONG JIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ар Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin It will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 l					
· <u>-</u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 11-18,22 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 11-18 and 22 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and acceptable and the correct and the co	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' amendment dated 11/13/2006 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 11-18 and 22 are now pending in the application.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejections as follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims l 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent 6, 122,024 (to Molsen et al.) in view of United States Patent 6,222,603 Bl (to Sakai et al.).

As to claim 11 (amended), Molsen teaches and discloses switchable liquid crystal devices. Molsen shows in Figure 1, a first substrate (transparent substrate 1), a second substrate (transparent substrate 4) opposing the first substrate (transparent substrate 1), a liquid crystal layer (nematic liquid crystals 8) between the first (1) and second (4) substrates, the liquid crystal having photopolymerisable material with one or more reactive groups mixed in with a non-chiral nematic liquid crystal and whereby ultraviolet radiation forms a helical polymer network (See Column 4, Lines 32-67)(App1icant's liquid crystal layer between the first and second substrates, the liquid crystal layer having a photo-reactant material and a liquid crystal, wherein the photo-reactant material and the liquid crystal form a polymer network.") and the liquid crystal material (8) is aligned in a direction different from the photo-reactant (9)(see figure 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,625

Art Unit: 2871

Molsen does not appear to explicitly specify first and second sealants along a periphery of one of the first and second substrates. Sakai et al. disclose a first sealant (6) and a second sealant (11) along a periphery of a pair of substrates (2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystal displays at the time the invention was made to modify Molsen in view of Sakai et al. to incorporate first and second sealants along a periphery of one of first and second substrates into a liquid crystal display device to contain the liquid crystal layer and improve an uniform of the cell gap (col. 6, lines 49-50).

As to claims 12-14, the sealant may include thermosetting resins, UV-cured resins, and dual active resins which can harden in the presence of UV rays as well as heating (col. 6, ln 32).

As to claim 1 5, Molsen et al. disclose an alignment layer for alignment of the liquid crystal layer (3/6).

As to claim 16, a black matrix, a color filter and common electrode (223) all on opposing substrate (220) is conventional elements of a color active matrix display. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

As to claim 17-18, Sakai et al. do disclose a spacer (figure 1, 4) to maintain substrate gap. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to employ a spacer having a columnar shape.

3. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 03(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent 6, 122,024 (to Molsen et al.) in view of United States Patent 6,222,603 Bl (to Sakai et al.) and further in view of United States Patent 5,872,609 (to Hiji et al.).

As to claim 22, the modification to Molsen does not appear to explicitly specify that the photo-reactant material remains uncured. Hiji teaches and discloses a light control element and method wherein a liquid crystal and photo-setting uncured material are irradiated with coherent

Application/Control Number: 10/633,625

Art Unit: 2871

light beams to result in an anisotropic gel in which orientation is periodically fixed (Column 6, Lines 14-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals at the time the invention was made to modify Molsen in view of Hiji for an uncured photo-reactant material' so that an anisotropic gel could be formed with periodically fixed orientation.

## **Conclusion**

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 08/07/2006 Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871